

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/510,392	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAPPER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JON CHANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) JON CHANG (USPTO).

(2) Tanya Nair.

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 September 2009

**Time:** various

**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The communications were conducted over email, over the course of several days, from 09/13/09 through 09/18/09. Authorization was given by Applicant to conduct the communications by email (see attached letter authorizing email communications). The Examiner informed Ms. Nair that the fee calculation for the original claims was incorrect. The fee calculation had only accounted for 7 of the 8 independent claims. A fee of \$88.00 had to be paid for the remaining independent claim in order to process the case for allowance. Authorization was given to charge the fee to a credit card. Additionally, authorization was given to correct language in the specification by Examiner's amendment..